arXiv:quant-ph/0310019v1 3 Oct 2003

A note on Coulomb scattering amplitude

Zafar Ahmed

Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085

e-mail:zahmed@apsara.barc.ernet.in

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The summation of the partial wave series for Coulomb scattering amplitude, $f^C(\theta)$ is avoided because the series is oscillatorily and divergent. Instead, $f^C(\theta)$ is obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation in parabolic cylindrical co-ordinates which is not a general method. Here, we show that a reconstructed series, $(1-\cos\theta)^2 f^C(\theta)$, is both convergent and analytically summable.

The partial wave analysis is the most widely used method to obtain cross-sections of the scattering processes due to central potentials in atomic, nuclear and molecular physics [1]. In this method the scattering matrix S_l is the most important theoretical ingredient which is the characteristic of a scattering potential. By knowing S_l one can obtain the scattering amplitude, $f(\theta)$, and hence the cross-section , $\sigma(\theta)(=|f(\theta)|^2)$. The partial wave series for the scattering amplitude which sums over discrete angular momentum, l, is written in terms of S_l as [1]

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)[S_l - 1]P_l(\cos\theta). \tag{1}$$

Despite the importance of an S-matrix it is ironical that the Coulomb potential, $V^C(r) = \frac{Q_1 Q_2}{r}$, is unique example admitting a simple, exact and analytic S-matrix for all angular momenta and energies. The Coulomb S-matrix is expressed as [1]

$$S_l^C = \exp[2i\sigma_l] = \frac{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)}, \tag{2}$$

where the super-script C stands for Coulomb, σ_l is the Coulomb phase-shift and $\eta(=\frac{Q_1Q_2\mu}{\hbar^2 k})$ is the Sommerfeld parameter, $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function. Surprisingly, in books [1] the summation or summability of the series (1) for the Coulomb potential remains not only elusive but also without a remark. Generally, the next thing that is done [1] is to take resort to solving Schr"ødinger equation in parabolic cylindrical co-ordinates to extract $f^C(\theta)$ as [1]

$$f^C(\theta) = -\frac{\eta}{2k\sin^2(\theta/2)}\exp[-i\{\eta\log(\sin^2(\theta/2)) - 2\sigma_0\}] \tag{3}$$

In this situation, one may wonder if the partial wave analysis is not a general method for obtaining the scattering amplitude for a central potential. Furthermore, if one tries to sum the series (1) inserting (2), the exercise turns out to be rather frustrating in that the series oscillates showing no convergence, irrespective of number of partial waves included [2,3]. Hence, one fails to reproduce $f^C(\theta)$ (3) even numerically. In fact Eq.(3) serves as the benchmark result in the theory of scattering. For the charged particle scattering e.g., proton scattering from nucleus where in addition to the Coulomb potential, a short ranged nuclear potential, $V_N(r)$, is used to calculate the scattering amplitude,

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)[\exp(2i\eta_l) - 1]P_l(\cos\theta), \tag{4}$$

where η_l is the phase shift of the combined nuclear and Coulomb potential. Once again, the series (4) would show oscillatory divergence and in order to suppress this divergence one writes $\eta_l = \delta_l + \sigma_l$. Notice that δ_l is the σ_l-subtracted phase shift which will also depend on the parameter, η, in some way which, in turn, is not known explicitly. If η_l corresponds to $V_N(r) + V_C(r)$ and σ_l corresponds to $V_C(r)$, one can really not assert as to which potential form in terms of $V_C(r)$ and $V_N(r)$, the phase shift δ_l would actually and exactly correspond to? Only in an approximate calculation such as Born approximation [1] the phase shifts add for two potentials. In any case Eq.(4) can be algebraically split as [1]

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ik}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)[\exp(2i\sigma_l) - 1]P_l(\cos\theta) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\exp(2i\sigma_l)[\exp(2i\delta_l) - 1]P_l(\cos\theta)\right). \tag{5a}$$

Subsequently, in the above expression the first series, instead of summing, it is ingeniously substituted by $f^C(\theta)$ (3) as

$$f(\theta) = f^C(\theta) + \frac{1}{2ik}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(2l+1)\exp(2i\sigma_l)[\exp(2i\delta_l) - 1]P_l(\cos\theta). \tag{5b}$$

By doing so, the convergence of $f(\theta)$ is solely controlled by the l- dependence of $[\exp(2i\delta_l)-1]$ which generally vanishes for large l. In the standard text-books [1] Eq. (5b) is essentially written; however, the motivation for doing so is not mentioned. It must be emphasized here that the Eq. (5b) does not serve any purpose other than to disentangle the divergence of (4, 5a). Equation (5b) is mistaken to separate out the effect of Coulomb interaction from $f(\theta)$, in fact some effect of the Coulomb part goes implicitly in the second part.

Alternatively, Yennie et al., [4] proposed to bypass this split-up (5b) of $f(\theta)$ for charged particle scattering or for any other instance of scattering where the series for $f(\theta)$ diverges. They suggested an intuitive numerical recipe to calculate $f(\theta)$ from Eq.(4) itself. According to them, one should rather reconstruct a reduced series i.e., $(1-\cos\theta)^m f(\theta)$ by choosing a positive integer, m, such that the resulting series shows convergence. They have successfully calculated cross-sections of high energy electron scattering by nuclei. Somehow, this prescription has not received as much attention as it deserves.

Utilizing this prescription [4] we have been curious to know whether we can overcome the divergence problem of Eq.(1) with Eq.(2) and extract the Coulomb scattering amplitude (3) directly therefrom. We find that the reconstructed series $(1-\cos\theta)^2 f^C(\theta)$ is uniformly and absolutely convergent and can be summed analytically to recover (3). It may be worthwhile to mention that several elegant and rigorous methods of the summation of the partial wave amplitudes for long range potentials including the Coulomb potential have been proposed [7]. These works are of more general nature which are numerical and which dwell more upon approximating the Legendre polynomial $P_l(\cos\theta)$ in various elegant ways.

The divergence of (1) for S_l^C can be at once realized by noticing the large l limit of S_l^C. Using an asymptotic property of the gamma function i.e., $\overset{limit}{z\to\infty}\Gamma(z+a)\to\Gamma(z)\exp[a(\log z)]$, [5] to find that $\overset{limit}{l\to\infty}S_l^C\to(l+1)^{2i\eta}$. It shows that the quantity $[S_l^C-1]$ oscillates even for asymptotically large values of l.

Using a property of the Legendre polynomials [5,6] i.e., $\sum_0^\infty(2l+1)P_l(\cos\theta_0)P_l(\cos\theta)=2\delta(\cos\theta_0-\cos\theta)$, we can write

$$f^C(\theta)=(2ik)^{-1}\left(\sum_0^\infty(2l+1)S_l^C P_l(\cos\theta)-2\delta(1-\cos\theta)\right), \tag{6}$$

where $\delta(z)$ is the Dirac-delta function. This shows an obvious singularity (divergence) in f^C at $\theta=0$. Let us see if we can suppress this divergence. Recalling an interesting property of Dirac-delta function i.e., $z\delta(z)=0$, it is tempting to multiply (6) by $(1-\cos\theta)$ on both the sides. We then get rid of the second term and find

$$(1-\cos\theta)f^C(\theta) = \sum_0^\infty (2l+1)S_l^C(1-\cos\theta)P_l(\cos\theta). \tag{7}$$

Next we reconstruct this series by using a recurrence formula of Legendre polynomials [5,6]

$$(2l+1)xP_l(x) = (l+1)P_{l+1} + lP_{l-1}(x), \tag{8}$$

where $\cos\theta = x$ and we get

$$(1-x)f^C(x) = (2ik)^{-1}\sum_0^\infty [(2l+1)S_l^C P_l(x) - (l+1)S_l^C P_{l+1}(x) - lS_l^C P_{l-1}(x)]. \tag{9}$$

$$(1-x)f^C(x) = (2ik)^{-1}\sum_0^\infty [(2l+1)S_l^C - lS_{l-1}^C - (l+1)S_{l+1}^C]P_l(x), \tag{10}$$

which in terms of gamma functions reads as

$$2ik(1-x)f^C(x) = \sum_0^\infty \left((2l+1)\frac{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+1-i\eta)} - l\frac{\Gamma(l+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l-i\eta)} - (l+1)\frac{\Gamma(l+2+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+2-i\eta)}\right)P_l(x). \tag{11}$$

By multiple usage of the recurrence formula for the gamma function viz., $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$, we can write

$$2ik(1-x)f^C(x) = \sum_0^\infty 2\eta^2(2l+1)\left(\frac{\Gamma(l+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+2-i\eta)}\right)P_l(x). \tag{12}$$

For a use in the sequel, here let us denote the quantity appearing inside the large bracket in the above equation as T_l. The above series can be re-written in terms of Coulomb phase-shifts as

$$2ik(1-x)f^C(x) = \sum_0^\infty \frac{2\eta^2(2l+1)\exp[2i\sigma_{l-1}]}{(l-i\eta)(l+1-i\eta)}P_l(x). \tag{13}$$

According to Weierstrass' M-Test [5] , a *sufficient* condition for a series, $\{U_n(z)\}$, to be uniformly and absolutely convergent is that the series, $\{M_n\}$, converges. Here, $M_n > |U_n(z)|$ for the given range of z, where M_n must be independent of z. Noting that $|P_l(x)| < 1$ for $-1 \le x \le 1$, we choose

$$M_l = \frac{2\eta^2(2l+1)}{\sqrt{(l^2+\eta^2)\{(l+1)^2+\eta^2\}}}. \tag{14}$$

The series, $\{M_l\}$ upon comparing with $\sum_0^\infty 1/l$ (divergent) is divergent. Hence, the M-test turns out to be negative for the uniform and absolute convergence of the series (12,13). In

fact, the M-test being only *sufficient* we can not really be assertive about the convergence of (12,13). It may be remarked that due to the presence of $P_l(x)$ in Eq.(13) only M-test is feasible here. Therefore, we further reduce the series (12) by multiplying by $(1-x)$ on both the sides in anticipation of a better alternative series for which the M-test can be positive about its convergence and hence about its summability. The second reduced series with the help of Eqs. (8,12) is obtained as

$$2ik(1-x)^2 f^C(x) = 2\eta^2 \sum_0^\infty [(2l+1)T_l P_l(x) - (l+1)T_l P_{l+1} - lT_l P_{l-1}(x)]. \tag{15}$$

Carrying out similar manipulations as done earlier from Eqs. (10) to (12), we reconstruct the series (15) as

$$2ik(1-x)^2 f^C(x) = -4\eta^2(1-i\eta)^2 \sum_0^\infty (2l+1)\frac{\Gamma(l-1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(l+3-i\eta)} P_l(x). \tag{16}$$

Eliminating gamma functions from this series, we get a more transparent expression in terms of Coulomb phase-shifts.

$$2ik(1-x)^2 f^C(x) = -4\eta^2(1-i\eta)^2 \sum_0^\infty \frac{(2l+1)\exp[2i\sigma_{l-2}]}{(l+2-i\eta)(l+1-i\eta)(l-i\eta)(l-1-i\eta)} P_l(x). \tag{17}$$

Once again we carry out the M-test, choosing the M-series to be

$$M_l = \sum_0^\infty \frac{(2l+1)}{\sqrt{\{(l+2)^2+\eta^2\}\{(l+1)^2+\eta^2\}\{l^2+\eta^2\}\{(l-1)^2+\eta^2\}}}, \tag{18}$$

which upon comparison with $\sum_0^\infty l^{-3}$ (convergent), is convergent. This establishes the uniform and absolute convergence of (15,16). Let us now sum it up. To this end, we make use of a very interesting formula due to Bateman [6]

$$(1-x)^\rho = 2^\rho \sum_0^\infty \frac{2n+1}{n+\rho+1}\frac{(-\rho)_n}{(1+\rho)_n} P_n(x), \tag{19}$$

where $(\xi)_n = \Gamma(\xi+n)/\Gamma(\xi)$. By employing Eq.(18) with $\rho = 1-i\eta$, Eq.(16) yields

$$2ik(1-x)^2 f^C(x) = -\frac{4\eta^2(1-i\eta)^2 2^{-1+i\eta}(1-x)^{1-i\eta}\Gamma(-1+i\eta)}{\Gamma(2-i\eta)}. \tag{20}$$

By using the identity, $z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(1+z)$ and putting $x = \cos\theta$, we straightaway obtain the Coulomb scattering amplitude (3). Thus, the derivation of Eq. (3) presented here supplements the partial wave analysis for the Coulomb potential. This exercise also demonstrates how the divergence of a series is disentangled to extract a physical result.

REFERENCES :

1. e.g., L.I.Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (Mc Graw Hill, New York, 1968) 3^{rd} ed. pp. 138-147;
   E.Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics* (John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1970) 2^{nd} ed., pp. 245-250;
   M.L.Goldberger and K.M.Watson, *Collision Theory* (John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1964) pp. 259-269;
   N.F.Mott and H.S.W. Massey, *The theory of Atomic Collisions* (Oxford University Press, London, 1965) 3^{rd} ed. , pp. 53-68.

2. L.Marquez, " On the divergence of the Rutherford scattering amplitude in terms of Coulomb phase shifts," Am. J. Phys. **40** 1420-1427 (1972).

3. J.R.Taylor," A new rigorous approach to Coulomb scattering," Nuovo-Cimento **B 23** 313-334 (1974).

4. D.R.Yennie, D.G.Ravenhall and R.N.Wilson, " Phase-shifts calculation of high energy electron scattering," Phys. Rev. **95** 500-515 (1954).

5. E.T. Whittaker and G.N.Watson, *A Course in Modern Analysis*, (University Press, Cambridge, 1952) 4^{th} ed.

6. H.Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions,* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953) vol. 2, p. 214.

7. e.g., A.K.Common and T.W.Stacey, " The convergence of Legendre Pade' approximants to the Coulomb and other scattering amplitudes," J.Phys.: Math. & Gen. **A 11** 275-289 (1978);
   C.R.Garibotti and F.F.Grinstein, " Summation of partial wave expansions in the scattering by long range potentials II : Numerical applications," J. Math. Phys. **20**, 141-147 (1979);
   F. Gesztesy and C.B. Lang, " On the Abel summability of partial wave amplitudes for Coulomb-type interactions," J. Math. Phys. **22** 312-319 (1981).